

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

Leslie E. Kobayashi  
United States District Judge

**GENERAL FEDERAL JURY**  
**INSTRUCTIONS IN CIVIL CASES**

The following will be proposed as the court's instructions in all civil cases. Additional instructions applicable to the particular case may be prepared and proposed by the parties. Such additional instructions are to be exchanged between the parties and submitted in conformity with the Local Rules, the Pretrial Order, and this court's Procedures for Trials Before Judge Leslie E. Kobayashi.

GENERAL FEDERAL JURY  
INSTRUCTIONS IN CIVIL CASES

INDEX

1. DUTY OF JUDGE/DUTY OF JURY
  - A. BEFORE CLOSING ARGUMENTS
  - B. AFTER CLOSING ARGUMENTS
2. DUTY TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS
3. CORPORATION AS PARTY
4. EVIDENCE - EXCLUDING ARGUMENT OF COUNSEL
5. EVIDENCE - OBJECTIONS
6. EVIDENCE - EXCLUDING STATEMENTS OF JUDGE
7. EVIDENCE - JUDICIAL NOTICE
8. EVIDENCE - STIPULATION
9. EVIDENCE - DEPOSITIONS
10. EVIDENCE - INTERROGATORIES
11. EVIDENCE - DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL
12. EVIDENCE - CREDIBILITY OF WITNESS
13. EVIDENCE - EXPERT WITNESSES
14. IMPEACHMENT - GENERALLY
15. IMPEACHMENT - REPUTATION FOR TRUTHFULNESS
16. IMPEACHMENT - PRIOR CONVICTION
17. CORPORATION RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTS AND DECLARATIONS OF ITS AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES
18. BURDEN OF PROOF - PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE
  - A. SINGLE CLAIM
  - B. MULTIPLE CLAIMS
19. MULTIPLE PLAINTIFFS
20. MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS
21. DUTY TO DELIBERATE
22. DAMAGES INSTRUCTION NOT RELEVANT TO LIABILITY
23. VERDICT FORM

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 1(A)

DUTY OF JUDGE/DUTY OF JURY - BEFORE CLOSING ARGUMENTS

Members of the Jury:

You have now heard all of the evidence in the case and will hear the final arguments of the lawyers for the parties. It is my duty, therefore, to instruct you on the rules of law that you must follow and apply in arriving at your decision in this case.

In any jury trial there are, in effect, two judges. I am one of the judges; you, the jurors, are the other. It has been my duty to preside over the trial and to determine what testimony and evidence is relevant under the law for your consideration. It is now my duty to instruct you on the law applicable to the case.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 1(B)

DUTY OF JUDGE/DUTY OF JURY - AFTER CLOSING ARGUMENTS

Members of the Jury:

You have now heard all of the evidence in the case as well as the final arguments of the lawyers for the parties. It is my duty, therefore, to instruct you on the rules of law that you must follow and apply in arriving at your decision in this case.

In any jury trial there are, in effect, two judges. I am one of the judges; you, the jurors, are the other. It has been my duty to preside over the trial and to determine what testimony and evidence is relevant under the law for your consideration. It is now my duty to instruct you on the law applicable to the case.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 2

DUTY TO FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS

You, as jurors, are the judges of the facts. But in determining what actually happened in this case - that is, in reaching your decision as to the facts - it is your sworn duty to follow the law I am now in the process of defining for you.

You must follow all of my instructions as a whole. You have no right to disregard or give special attention to any one instruction, or to question the wisdom or correctness of any rule I may state to you. That is, you must not substitute or follow your own notion or opinion as to what the law is or ought to be. It is your duty to apply the law as I give it to you, regardless of the consequences.

In deciding the facts of this case you must not be swayed by sympathy, bias, or prejudice as to any party. This case should be considered and decided by you as an action between persons of equal standing in the community, and holding the same or similar stations

in life. The law is no respecter of persons, and all persons stand equal before the law and are to be dealt with as equals in a court of justice.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 3

CORPORATION AS PARTY

A corporation is entitled to the same fair  
consideration at your hands as is a private individual.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 4

EVIDENCE - EXCLUDING ARGUMENT OF COUNSEL  
**(Adjust If There Are No Stipulations and/or No Judicial  
Notice)**

As stated earlier, it is your duty to determine the facts, and in so doing you must consider only the evidence I have admitted in the case. The term "evidence" includes (1) the sworn testimony of the witnesses, (2) the exhibits admitted in the record, (3) any facts or testimony to which the parties have agreed and stipulated, and (4) any facts of which I have taken judicial notice.

Remember that any statements, objections, or arguments made by the lawyers are not evidence in the case. The function of each lawyer is to point out those things that are most significant or most helpful to that lawyer's side of the case, and, in so doing, to call your attention to certain facts or inferences that might otherwise escape your notice.

In the final analysis, however, it is your own recollection and interpretation of the evidence that



controls in the case. What the lawyers say is not binding upon you.

## COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 5

### EVIDENCE - OBJECTIONS

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered or the exhibit cannot be received, and you must not speculate as to what the answer might have been or what the exhibit might have shown. Nor should you speculate as to the reason for any objection. You must not consider for any purpose any offer of evidence that was rejected, or any evidence that was stricken from the record; such matter is to be treated as though you had never known of it.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 6

EVIDENCE - EXCLUDING STATEMENTS OF JUDGE

During the course of a trial I occasionally make comments to the lawyers, or ask questions of a witness, or admonish a witness concerning the manner in which he or she should respond to the questions of counsel. Do not assume from anything I have said that I have any opinion concerning any of the issues in this case. Except for my instructions to you on the law, you should disregard anything I may have said during the trial in arriving at your own findings as to the facts.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 7

EVIDENCE - JUDICIAL NOTICE

The court may take judicial notice of certain facts or events. When the court declares that it will take judicial notice of some fact or event, you must accept the court's declaration as evidence and regard the fact or event that has been judicially noticed as conclusively proved.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 8

EVIDENCE - STIPULATION

The parties have agreed as to certain facts that have been stated to you. You should treat these facts as having been proved.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 9

EVIDENCE - DEPOSITIONS

Certain testimony has been read into evidence from depositions. A deposition is testimony taken under oath before the trial and preserved in writing. You are to consider that testimony as if it had been given in court.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 10

EVIDENCE - INTERROGATORIES

During the course of the trial you have heard reference made to the word "interrogatory." An interrogatory is a written question asked by one party of another, who must answer it under oath in writing. You are to consider interrogatories and the answers to interrogatories the same as if the questions had been asked and answered here in court.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 11

EVIDENCE - DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial.

Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence, that is, proof of a chain of facts from which you could find that another fact exists, even though it has not been proved directly.

While you should consider only the evidence in the case, you are permitted to draw such reasonable inferences from the testimony and exhibits as you feel are justified in the light of common experience. In other words, you may make deductions and reach conclusions that reason and common sense lead you to draw from the facts that have been established by the testimony and evidence in the case.

You are to consider both direct and circumstantial evidence. The law permits you to give equal weight to both, but it is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.





COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 12

EVIDENCE - CREDIBILITY OF WITNESS

I have said that you must consider all of the evidence. This does not mean, however, that you must accept all of the evidence as true or accurate.

You are the sole judges of the credibility or "believability" of each witness and the weight to be given to his or her testimony. In weighing the testimony of a witness, you should consider the witness' relationship to the parties; the witness' interest, if any, in the outcome of the case; the witness' manner of testifying; the witness' opportunity to observe or acquire knowledge concerning the facts about which the witness testified; the witness' candor, fairness, and intelligence; and the extent to which the witness has been supported or contradicted by other credible evidence. You may, in short, accept or reject the testimony of any witness in whole or in part.

Also, the weight of the evidence is not necessarily determined by the number of witnesses

testifying as to the existence or nonexistence of any fact. You may find that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses as to any fact is more credible than the testimony of a larger number of witnesses to the contrary.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 13

EVIDENCE - EXPERT WITNESSES

The rules of evidence provide that, if scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge might assist the jury in understanding the evidence or in determining a fact in issue, a witness qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify and state an opinion or opinions concerning such matters.

You should consider each expert opinion received in evidence in this case and give it such weight as you may think it deserves. If you decide that the opinion of an expert witness is not based upon sufficient education and/or experience, or if you conclude that the reasons given in support of the opinion are not sound, or that the opinion is outweighed by other evidence, then you may disregard the opinion entirely.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 14

IMPEACHMENT - GENERALLY

A witness may be discredited or "impeached" by contradictory evidence, by a showing that he or she testified falsely concerning a material matter, or by evidence that at some other time the witness said or did something that is inconsistent with the witness' present testimony or failed to say or do something that would be consistent with the present testimony had it been said or done.

If you believe that any witness has been so impeached, then it is your exclusive province to give the testimony of that witness such credibility or weight, if any, as you may think it deserves.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 15

IMPEACHMENT - REPUTATION FOR TRUTHFULNESS

A witness may also be discredited or impeached by evidence that the general reputation of the witness for truth and veracity is bad in the community where the witness now resides or has recently resided.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 16

IMPEACHMENT - PRIOR CONVICTION

The fact that a witness has previously been convicted of a felony or of a crime involving dishonesty or false statement is also a factor you may consider in weighing the credibility of that witness. The fact of such a conviction does not necessarily destroy the witness' credibility, but it is one of the circumstances you may take into account in determining the weight to be given to the testimony.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 17

CORPORATION RESPONSIBLE FOR ACTS AND DECLARATIONS  
OF ITS AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES

When a corporation is involved, it may act only through persons who are its agents or employees. In general, any agent or employee of a corporation may bind the corporation by his or her acts and declarations made while acting within the scope of the authority delegated to him or her by the corporation, or within the scope of the agent's or employee's duties to the corporation.



COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 18(A)

BURDEN OF PROOF - PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE  
SINGLE CLAIM

**(Modify as Necessary to Reflect the Number of Parties  
Involved)**

The burden is on the plaintiff in a civil action such as this to prove every essential element of the claim by a "preponderance of the evidence." A preponderance of the evidence means such evidence as, when considered and compared with that opposed to it, has more convincing force and produces in your minds a belief that what is sought to be proved is more likely true than not true. In other words, to establish a claim by a "preponderance of the evidence" means to prove that the claim is more likely so than not so.

In determining whether any fact in issue has been proved by a preponderance of the evidence, the jury may consider the testimony of all the witnesses, regardless of who may have called them, and all the exhibits received in evidence, regardless of who may have produced them, as well as any facts I have instructed you to accept. If the proof should fail to

establish any essential element of the plaintiff's claim by a preponderance of the evidence, the jury should find for the defendant as to that claim.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 18(B)

BURDEN OF PROOF - PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE  
MULTIPLE CLAIMS  
**(Modify as Necessary to Reflect the Number of Parties  
Involved)**

In a civil action such as this, a party asserting a claim, as hereafter described, has the burden of proving every essential element of that party's claim by a "preponderance of the evidence." A preponderance of the evidence means such evidence as, when considered and compared with that opposed to it, has more convincing force and produces in your minds a belief that what is sought to be proved is more likely true than not true. In other words, to establish a claim by a "preponderance of the evidence" means to prove that the claim is more likely so than not so.

When more than one claim is involved, as in this case, you should consider each claim, and the evidence pertaining to it, separately, as you would have if each claim had been tried before you separately. But in determining any fact in issue, you may consider the testimony of all the witnesses,

regardless of who may have called them, and all the exhibits received in evidence, regardless of who may have produced them, as well as any facts I have instructed you to accept.

If a preponderance of the evidence does not support each essential element of a claim, then the jury should find against the party having the burden of proof as to that claim.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 19

MULTIPLE PLAINTIFFS

Although there is more than one plaintiff in this suit, the case of each is separate from and independent of the other.

The instructions govern the case as to each plaintiff so far as they are applicable to that plaintiff, unless otherwise stated. You will determine each plaintiff's case separately, the same as if you were trying different lawsuits.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 20

MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS

Although there is more than one defendant in this suit, it does not follow from that fact alone that all are liable if one is liable. Each defendant is entitled to a fair and separate consideration of that defendant's own defense and is not to be prejudiced by your decision as to the others. Unless otherwise stated, all instructions given apply to the case against each defendant.

You will decide each defendant's case separately.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 21

DUTY TO DELIBERATE

Your verdict must represent the considered judgment of each juror. In order to return a verdict, it is necessary that each juror agree thereto. In other words, your verdict must be unanimous.

It is your duty as jurors to consult with one another and to deliberate in an effort to reach an agreement, if you can do so without violence to individual judgment. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but only after an impartial consideration of all the evidence in the case with the other jurors. In the course of your deliberations, do not hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion, if convinced it is erroneous. But do not surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or effect of the evidence solely because of the opinion of the other jurors, or solely for the purpose of returning a verdict.

Remember at all times that you are not  
partisans. You are judges - judges of the facts. Your  
sole interest is to seek the truth from the evidence in  
the case.



COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 22

DAMAGES INSTRUCTION NOT RELEVANT TO LIABILITY

The fact that I have given you instructions concerning the issue of the plaintiff's damages should not be interpreted in any way as an indication that I believe the plaintiff should, or should not, prevail in this case.

COURT'S INSTRUCTION NO. 23

VERDICT FORM

Upon retiring to the jury room you should first select one of your number to act as your foreperson. The foreperson will preside over your deliberations and will be your spokesperson here in court. A form of verdict has been prepared for your convenience.

(Explain verdict form.)

You will take the verdict form to the jury room, and, when you have reached unanimous agreement as to your verdict, you will have your foreperson fill it in, then date and sign it. You will then return to the courtroom.

If, during your deliberations, you desire to communicate with the court, please reduce your message or question to writing signed by the foreperson. The foreperson will then contact the courtroom deputy by using the telephone located in the hallway outside the jury room. The courtroom deputy will pick up the note and bring it to my attention. I will then respond as

promptly as possible, either in writing or by having you returned to the courtroom so that I can address you orally. I caution you, however, with regard to any message or question you might send, that you should never state or specify your numerical division at the time.

